

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/988,479	12/10/1997	MICHAEL E. FEIN	009103-01400	7564
20350 . 7	7590 12/30/2003		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
	EIGHTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-3834	4	2828	

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
***	08/988,479	FEIN, MICHAEL E.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dung (Michael) T Nguyen	2828 UU			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	(IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT	TH(S) FROM			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply b within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Oc	ctober 2003.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This a	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-26 and 51-55</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	Pela			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		Pauls			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-26 and 51-55</u> is/are rejected.		PAUL IP			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	s have been received. s have been received in Applic ity documents have been rece	cation No			
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.	of the certified copies not rece c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application)			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) 🔲 Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6)				

Application/Control Number: 08/988,479

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-26 and 51-55 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 2-11, 13-15, 17-26, and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Davies (US5917972). Davies shows in Fig.1 a non-imaging optical waveguide 1 being bound and having a first port 3 and a second port 4, said non-imaging waveguide comprising structure means for efficiently directing all the light entering through said first port around said bend.

Art Unit: 2828

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12, 16, and 51-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davies (US5917972) in view of Hed (US5727108). Davies discloses all limitations of the claims except for a compound parabolic concentrator. Hed teaches a compound parabolic concentrator (CPC) in Fig.1 and 3-8). For the benefit of connecting as an optical connector, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Davies a compound parabolic concentrator as taught by Hed.

Citation of The Pertinent References

The following US patents are being made of record, even though they were not relied upon in this Office action, for being similar in subject matter, and may be relied upon in any future Office Actions: 5708671, 5347530, and 5117431.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

... 'Application/Control Number: 08/988,479

Art Unit: 2828

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (571) 272-1941. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Nguyen (Michael) Dung

Paul IP

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800